

California Federation of Republican Women 2018 California Proposition Positions

PROP 1: HOUSING AND VETERAN'S HOUSING BOND

CFRW Position: NO

Rationale: This will be billed as a Vet Housing bond... it is only in part. 1/4 of the bond funds are dedicated to veterans' housing. The rest is doled out to programs that won't do much, if anything, to address our housing crisis. The legislature could have truly made this a bond for veterans' housing... but they didn't. Instead the taxpayer will be on the hook for \$7 billion dollars.

PROP 2: MILLIONAIRE'S TAX REALLOCATION TO HOMELESS HOUSING

Rationale: It is easy to see both sides of this reallocation of Prop 63 (2004) monies. Right now, the 1% tax on millionaire income is just sitting in a "mental health fund" and has contributed nothing in a decade to improving lives. The legislature virtually unanimously approved the No Place Like Home Act which would use the \$2 billion sitting in the mental health fund to build temporary housing for the homeless who suffer from mental illness or those who are at risk of being homeless because of mental health issues. It's a tough call and truly an ideological one, but ultimately the CFRW Voting Body decided to oppose the measure, citing that the fund should be used for mental health programs, not homeless housing.

PROP 3: \$8.9 WATER BOND

CFRW Position: NO

Rationale: Again, another tough call. It is hard to justify another water bond, especially one with this price tag (total cost to taxpayers will be \$17 billion). There is some to like in this bond-Oroville Dam repairs, groundwater infrastructure repairs and canal repairs for our Central Valley farmers... but there is more to dislike. The lion's share of the bond monies would be for conservancy grants, regional and state parks, and what the state calls "disadvantaged communities".

Very little is allocated to water storage or infrastructure. The California Republican Party took a Neutral position. The CFRW Voting Body decided enough was enough, with \$83 billion in outstanding bond debt and not a whole lot to show for it.

PROP 4: CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL BOND

CFRW Position: NO

Rationale: Children's hospitals have no problems fundraising privately. I suspect this is a money grab for several UC hospitals disguised as a Children's hospitals bond.

PROP 5: SENIOR PROPERTY TAX TRANSFER

CFRW Position: YES

Rationale: This will strengthen Prop 13 protections for those over 55 buying homes. Their property tax value on their previous home will be transferred to their new home purchase, regardless of how many homes they own or how many times they've moved. In an era where Democrats are trying to chip away at Prop 13, this is one good way to protect it and encourage seniors to move so that their homes can be made available for young families.

PROP 6: GAS TAX REPEAL

CFRW Position: YES

Rationale: The importance of this prop cannot be overstated. This single proposition could help us win other races across the state, even secure the House for Republicans. It is crucial we get the word out and support Prop 6! The fact of the matter is, the state has enough money in the General Fund to repair our roads and ease traffic through infrastructure, but the Democrats have siphoned off money for roads for three decades. SB 1 should have never been passed without voter approval first!

PROP 7: PERMANENT DAYLIGHT SAVINGS

CFRW Position: NO

Rationale: There are many more important measures on the ballot. We have a system that works and much more pressing matters affecting our state. This is not the proposition you want to spend any time educating on. Even if it's passed, the legislature and the Federal Government would have to approve it. It's not worth our time (pun intended!)

PROP 8: DIALYSIS CLINIC REVENUE

CFRW Position: NO

Rationale: This proposition is one of the most important ones but may get lost in the shuffle if we aren't careful. This is a thinly veiled power grab by the SEUI and UHW to unionize dialysis clinics. Voters won't understand the importance of this ballot box power play... so we must educate them. Prop 8 would mandate that if dialysis clinics make more than 115% of their costs, they must "payback" their patients' payers. Consumers won't see any of the revenue, and this may make it more difficult for patients to receive care if clinics are forced to shut down. It is despicable. The ballot box is not the place to unionize.

(Prop 9 was taken off the ballot by the CA Supreme Court, so we skip to 10)

PROP 10: LOCAL RENT CONTROL

Rationale: Local, unelected "rent control boards" would wreak havoc on our local economies and would do nothing but make our housing crisis worse. This would shrink supply and repealing Costa-Hawkins would create a superficial bubble. It also does not allow landlords to bring a rental back to market value if it is vacated. The CRP said no to Prop 10 as well.

PROP 11: AMBULANCE EMPLOYEE PAID BREAKS

CFRW Position: YES

Rationale: This is good for the consumer and good for taxpayers by circumventing frivolous lawsuits. Prop 11 allows ambulatory companies to pay their employees for "on-call" breaks, instead of the mandated, unpaid hour-long breaks before and after their shifts that the unions love.

PROP 12: SPACE REQUIREMENTS FOR CONSUMABLE FARM ANIMALS

CFRW Position: NO

Rationale: You may be thinking . . . didn't we already vote on chicken coop space? Why yes, yes we did. Back in 2008. But the Humane Society is coming back for more, just as all the farmers and ranchers complied with the original law. If they don't comply in time with Prop 12, they are banned from selling their meat or eggs. The Humane Society sees dollar signs while the burden will be passed onto consumers. This may create shortages, frivolous lawsuits, and is unnecessarily burdensome on our farmers and ranchers who have already complied with the 2008 law.